

Minutes

Council Meeting

15 February 2021

it's in the making

<u>Contents</u>		
Item 16/21	Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 18 January 2021	3
	Decision	3
Item 17/21	Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Closed Session Minutes – 18 Janu	ary 20214
	Decision	4
Item 18/21	Confirmation of Agenda	4
	Decision	4
Item 19/21	Declaration of an Interest of a Councillor or Close Associate	4
Item 20/21	Management Team Briefing Report	4
	Decision	5
Item 21/21	Council Workshops Held Since Last Council Meeting	5
Item 22/21	Councillor Applications for Leave of Absence	5
Item 23/21	Public Question Time	5
Item 24/21	Deputations	10
Item 25/21	Councillor Question Time	10
Item 26/21	Notices of Motion by Councillors	11
Item 27/21	Petition – North East Rail Line Removal	11
	Decision	11
Item 28/21	Planning Application – Rail Trail (Scottsdale to Wyena) F/R 50429/3 Ellenoi SCOTTSDALE, North East Corridor (multiple casements), and Council Road R (multiple)	eserves
	Decision	12
Item 29/21	Planning Application – Demolition of Garage and Construction of Carport an Attached Deck with Relaxation of Rear Boundary Setback Standards 25 He BRIDPORT	nry Street
	Decision	14
Item 30/21	Urgent Amendment to Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 CT 159544/1 Street BRIDPORT (Ezzy Park)	
	Decision	16
Item 31/21	Quarterly Financials – 31 December 2020	17
	Decision	17
Item 32/21	Proposed Street Names – Northbourne Park Expansion "Propsting Circle" ar "Kookaburra Court"	
	Decision	18
Time Meeting	Closed: 7:03 pm	18



Council Meeting Minutes 15 February 2021

** Aunty Patsy Cameron conducted a Welcome to Country prior to the commencement of the Council Meeting (Ref: DOC/21/1505)

Meeting Opened: 6:00 pm

Present: Crs Greg Howard (Mayor), Dale Jessup (Deputy Mayor), Jerrod Nichols,

Wendy McLennan, Murray Lade, Jan Hughes, Edwina Powell, Mervyn

Chilcott

General Manager: Tim Watson, Director – Community & Development: Rohan Willis, Director – Corporate Services: John Marik, Director – Works & Infrastructure: Dwaine Griffin, Town Planner: Thomas

Wagenknecht, Consultant Planner: Ashley Brook

Apologies: Cr Leonie Stein

Item 16/21 Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 18 January 2021

Ref: DOC/21/319

The Chair reported that he had viewed the minutes of the <u>Ordinary</u> Meeting held on Monday, 18 January 2021, finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

Decision

MOVED: Cr Chilcott | SECONDED: Cr Lade

That the Minutes of Proceedings of the Dorset Council <u>Ordinary</u> Meeting held on 18 January 2021 having been circulated to all Councillors, be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Chair to ask Councillors if there are any questions they wish to ask in relation to the Closed Session Minutes that would require them to be discussed in Closed Session

Item 17/21 Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Closed Session Minutes – 18

January 2021 Ref: DOC/21/320

The Chair reported that he had viewed the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting <u>Closed Session</u> held on Monday, 18 January 2021, finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

Decision

MOVED: Cr Jessup | SECONDED: Cr Hughes

That the Minutes of Proceedings of the Dorset Council Ordinary Meeting <u>Closed Session</u> held on 18 January 2021 having been circulated to all Councillors, be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 18/21 Confirmation of Agenda

Decision

MOVED: Cr Powell | SECONDED: Cr Chilcott

That Council confirm the Agenda and order of business for the 15 February 2021 Council Meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 19/21 Declaration of an Interest of a Councillor or Close Associate

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations* 2015 and Council's adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary interest or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED

Cr Howard	Item 27
Cr Howard	ltem 28
Cr McLennan	ltem 27
Cr McLennan	Item 28

Item 20/21 Management Team Briefing Report

The purpose of this agenda item is to provide Councillors and the community with a briefing on matters of interest dealt with during the past month by Council's Management Team.

Recommendation

That the Management Team Briefing Report be received and noted.

Decision

MOVED: Cr Nichols | SECONDED: Cr Hughes

That the Management Team Briefing Report be received and noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 21/21 Council Workshops Held Since Last Council Meeting

2 February | Briefing Workshop

Item 22/21 Councillor Applications for Leave of Absence

Nil

Item 23/21 Public Question Time

The following questions were taken on notice at the 18 January 2021 Meeting:

Dianne Venn, Lilydale:

(Question relating to an answer given by Mayor Howard)

When was that rail removed Mr Mayor?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

The rail infrastructure was removed from the Bairnsdale to Orbost line in 1994 following closure of the rail service in 1987.

Dianne Venn, Lilydale:

With reference to the Strategic Infrastructure Corridors Act 1992, Section 99 – Removal of Rail Infrastructure – Items 1 and 2 and Hansard. What investigations, engineering reports, costings or other evidence has been undertaken by Dorset Council or the Minister for Infrastructure to comply with the requirements prior to the decision to make DA application for rail infrastructure removal?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

Section 99 of the *Strategic Infrastructure Corridors (Strategic and Recreational Use) Act 2016* is the correct reference. Under that section, a Corridor Manager may not remove railway track unless the Minister has issued a notice for the removal. The Minister will make the decision upon issuing the notice in future, regardless of a planning permit being granted for the Rail Trail.

The following questions were received on notice:

Karl Willrath, Scottsdale | 28 January 2021

In the interests of lifting professional standards and accountability, will the Mayor recommend introducing a communications policy that will advise Dorset Councillors, to only communicate with print media that are members of the Australian Press Council (APC)?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

No.

Karl Willrath, Scottsdale | 5 February 2021

On what date in 2020 did the Hon Mark Shelton, or the Hon Roger Jaensch, or any other minister, contact Mayor Howard with concerns/questions, that GM Tim Watson was ignoring emails and or phone calls to stop work at the proposed new council depot at Derby?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

I have not been contacted by any Minister in relation to this matter

Terry Smith – on behalf of the Derby Community Development Association | 5 February 2021

In the past four to five years the town of Derby and its original residents, especially the remaining handful of originals, have experienced far more than enough of the massive changes and upheavals to their daily lives, their lifestyles, their home town atmosphere, their social networks and their local environment.

In June of 2018 the General Manager met with the Derby Community Development Association's affiliate connection...the Derby History Group. During those discussions the GM reportedly admitted that there had been minimal community consultations regarding the rapid introduction of the mountain bike industry into Derby. To address this situation the GM suggested that community members would be invited to attend a community consultation meeting at the Dorset Hotel in August of 2018...apparently that meeting did not come about.

With that in mind, the DCDA respectfully poses the following question:

1. What is the Dorset Council's long term strategic plan for the town of Derby, including the rights to conventional town amenities for conventional town residents like healthy green spaces, safe footpaths and roads, and actions to ensure the most basic conventional rules and regulations of local and state government are observed by all in the town?

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

Council's strategy for Derby is to ensure that it maintains its status as one of Australia's preferred mountain bike destinations. Since the inception of the mountain bike trails, the

town has come back to life with substantial investment in businesses and accommodation resulting in a dramatic increase in local employment. With this new investment, the liveability of the town has increased significantly as residents now have access to a multitude of dining options and other ancillary offerings.

In addition, Council now maintains the river frontage to a standard not previously seen, now providing substantial public open space for residents. Council will continue to invest in footpaths, roads and stormwater infrastructure as it does in every township across the municipality.

That leads to several very important brief questions that are relevant to the first.

It has not gone unnoticed to many that the new public toilets at the former Derby Community Park and those in Christopher Street are regularly required to have raw sewerage pumped from them into one of several contract cartage tankers that then truck the muck out of our town.

2. What solutions is the Dorset Council contemplating to address the ongoing apparent overflow of those heavily used and seemingly inefficient septic tank systems?

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

Council has taken a proactive approach to the maintenance of the waste water systems associated with the public amenities within Derby. This proactive approach includes regular pump outs to prevent system saturation and potential leeching into the environment. The approach by Council is consistent with best practice for operating and maintaining public waste water systems. It should also be noted that the systems result in a high quality of waste water at the end of the treatment process.

3. What concerns does the Dorset Council hold regarding any contamination of the Derby soil stratas and the Ringarooma River from seeping raw sewage, grey-water run off by campers, and the constant riverbank toileting and night-soil bucket dumping?

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

Council addresses all these issues by ensuring there are adequate public facilities within the township and that individual waste water systems servicing accommodation comply with the requirement to ensure waste water is maintained within each property boundary.

Council is aware that development pressures may require that the town is serviced by a reticulated sewerage system sometime into the future. Council has raised this with TasWater as a potential issue that may need addressing. TasWater and Council are currently adopting a 'wait and see' approach with regards to this matter.

4. Is the Dorset Council aware that every single mountain bike on Derby and some buses and cars with a covering of grunge and grime is scrubbed down in locations all over

the town with the muddy chemical concoctions from thousands of wash-downs flowing directly into the Ringarooma River yearly?

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

Council provides a bike wash facility with a silt interceptor trap that is maintained on a regular basis. The issue of vehicles and bikes being washed in other locations within Derby and the use of detergents is not specific to Derby and is experienced across the municipality and townships right across Tasmania.

Gayle Scott, Derby:

I refer to the Dorset Council Meeting held in Derby on 19 February 2018. Comments from local residents included many issues that are not being addressed concerning the introduction of the mountain-bike industry. Issues included the inadequate infrastructure and unhygienic practices in camp sites. Mayor Howard spoke on behalf of Council and stated, "I don't disagree that there are issues here." He later added, "It is Council's role wherever possible to minimise the effect on local residents given that change is inevitable." Dale Jessup said, "You have enlightened Councillors here tonight, you can be sure that the concerns you've raised tonight will be followed up." Mayor Howard agreed that more community consultation would take place in the future. Hence (after 3 years), my questions are:

1. When will the issues of concerns in Derby be addressed?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

As you would be well aware a number of facilities have been introduced into Derby since that point in time. Council have built new toilets, new showers, we've put a track down along the riverbank to take as many bikes as possible off the Main Street, which was one of the requests at the time. Council introduced fire pots to stop people lighting campfires in the campgrounds. As that Meeting was a while ago, I can't remember exactly what was spoken about, but I believe we have completed the majority of what we said we would do.

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

There has been a restriction on the camping as well between the pump track and the back of the Tin Centre – there is no camping there.

There is camping in that area, with fire pots placed there.

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

That area was blocked off so I will follow that point up for you.

2. When will a community consultation meeting be held with the remaining Derby residents?

Question Taken on Notice

3. Why do new buildings, developments and current planning applications in Derby all have a relaxation of wall, window and roof material standards?

Question Taken on Notice

You also said you were going to liaise with Tas Fire about adequate signage in times of high fire danger and total fire bans. What was the outcome of that?

Question Taken on Notice

Vincent Teichmann, Pioneer:

Thank you for fixing up the worst patches of Cascade Dam Road – it is much appreciated and it made a big difference. Would Council be able to leave some gravel at the quarry or other places along the road so that the volunteers (we had a working bee and the shuttle companies all contributed labour) can keep maintaining it?

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

Yes, we can organise that.

Have Council thought about installing safety mirrors on some of the blind corners. There are a couple of places were accidents could very easily happen. They are not that expensive and they do a good job.

Question Taken on Notice

Thank you also for the installation of the bike racks down at the Depot. Would it be possible for Council to install some shade sails in the area? At the moment we are trying to set up a temporary gazebo and it gets blown away in high winds, etc.

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson:

Council have started a process to redevelop the Derby Depot site which will include all those types of things and on the other side of the road shade cloths, tables, etc. We are yet to work through that as a Council but that is on the drawing board.

How does Council feel about installing a rubbish bin at the top of Black Stump? For a couple of years I've been trying to look after the rubbish there generated by riders and some of it is from the drinks esky when the lapidary club runs a fundraiser, but a lot of it is other waste. It would be handy to have a recycling bin and a property Council rubbish bin to make it easier for people to sort their rubbish.

Question Taken on Notice

Once the trails Air-Ya-Garn, its one of the fastest trails and most popular but because of the variation in rider speed you sometimes have issues with faster riders catching up to slower riders not knowing that they are ahead of them on the trail. How do you feel about placing a stop watch or some sort of timing device like a dial at the beginning so that riders could show what speed they are riding at? This would then allow a rider about to start to see what is ahead of them and time their ride. It would make the trail safer.

Question Taken on Notice

Item 24/21	Deputations	
Nil		
Item 25/21	Councillor Question Time	

Councillor Mervyn Chilcott:

In regard to the number of toilets down there at Derby. After talking with Council staff that do the cleaning they seem to reckon that there is a constant queue at the toilets a lot of the time. Are there plans for anymore toilets?

Response from General Manager, Tim Watson

There are 9 or 10 public toilets in Derby as it is now, which is adequate.

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

Eventually there is probably a need for toilet facilities at the main trailhead but that is not in the budget at the moment.

With the Scottsdale Irrigation Scheme about to be officially opened, is there any way that the Council would organise a forum with guest speakers to introduce new agricultural industries into the area? Possibly this could be started with a discussion at the next Briefing Workshop.

Response from Mayor Greg Howard:

We can have the discussion at the Workshop, but we have been trying since the Irrigation Scheme was first mooted to look at possibilities for new industries. Councillor Nichols and I've had private discussions with a number of those options, but nothing has come to fruition at this point in time. COVID has also put it all on the backburner as it is most likely it would be something to come out of Victoria or the mainland.

Nil

Cr McLennan declared a conflict of interest in Item 27 and Item 28 and left the Meeting prior to discussion (6:23 pm)

Cr Howard declared an interest in Item 27 and Item 28, but stated it was immaterial and did not leave the Meeting.

Item 27/21 Petition – North East Rail Line Removal

Reporting Officer: General Manager: Tim Watson Ref: DOC/21/1270 | Petition: DOC/21/1271

On 10 February 2021, Council received a petition requesting that Council

"cease and desist from all activities leading to the destruction of the integrity of the North East Rail Line and associated infrastructure between Lilydale Falls and Scottsdale."

The petition has been presented by Mr Kent Rattray. A copy of the petition is provided for Councillors' information.

The petition presented complies with Section 57 of the Local Government Act 1993.

Recommendation

That the petition with respect to the North East Rail Line removal, presented by Mr Kent Rattray, be received and noted.

<u>Decision</u>

MOVED: Cr Nichols | SECONDED: Cr Jessup

That the petition with respect to the North East Rail Line removal, presented by Mr Kent Rattray, be received and noted.

CARRIED

***Councillors are reminded that they are acting as a Planning Authority for Item 28/21, Item 29/21 and Item 30/21

Item 28/21 Planning Application – Rail Trail (Scottsdale to Wyena) | F/R 50429/3

Ellenor Street SCOTTSDALE, North East Corridor (multiple casements),

and Council Road Reserves (multiple)

Reporting Officer: Consultant Planner: Ashley Brook

Ref: DOC/21/1237 | PLA/2020/122

Dorset Council | Ordinary Meeting of Council | Minutes | 15 February 2021 Ref: DOC/21/1500

Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a proposal for a 26km portion of the proposed Rail Trail project within the North East Corridor extending from Wyena to Scottsdale Station. The proposal will provide a recreational trail for cycling and walking activities. It will involve:

- decommissioning of rail infrastructure;
- removal of minor pockets of juvenile vegetation growth on rail ballast;
- construction of a trail surface (crushing of rail ballast) to a width of between 2 to 3 metres;
- targeted construction of decking and handrails along bridge crossings;
- provision of ten (10) car parking spaces to be delineated within an existing gravel hardstand at the former Scottsdale Station; and
- select erection of associated signage.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposal for the Rail Trail within the subject land, comprising the 26km portion of the North East Corridor extending between the municipal area boundary at Wyena and Scottsdale Station (F/R 50429/3) and associated public road crossings, be approved subject to the following conditions:

Decision

MOVED: Cr Jessup | SECONDED: Cr Nichols

It is recommended that the proposal for the Rail Trail within the subject land, comprising the 26km portion of the North East Corridor extending between the municipal area boundary at Wyena and Scottsdale Station (F/R 50429/3) and associated public road crossings, be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Basis of Approval

The use and development is approved and must be undertaken in accordance with the planning application PLA/2020/122 and the Endorsed Documents. Any substantial variation from this application will require the further planning consent of the Council.

ADVISORY NOTES

(i) Other Approvals

This permit does not imply that any other approval requirement or other obligation under any other by-law or legislation has been granted or satisfied. At least the following additional approvals may be required before construction commences:

- (a) Works in a Council Road Reserve Approval (Dorset Council)
- (b) Building Works

(ii) Department of State Growth - Further Approvals

Additional approval is required under the *Strategic Infrastructure Corridors (Strategic and Recreational Use) Act 2016* in respect of works, the use of substantial fixed infrastructure and the authority to remove railway infrastructure.

(iii) Tasmanian Heritage Council Exemption

As identified in Certificate of Exemption 3227 dated 4 November issued by the Tasmanian Heritage Council, the works are not to affect the railway lines remaining at Registered Place No. 1404 at Scottsdale Station, Ellenor Street, Scottsdale (F/R 50429/3

(iv) General Environmental Duty

During construction and use of the approved Rail Trail all practicable or reasonable measures are to be taken to minimise environmental harm or environmental nuisance, including air, noise and water pollution, in accordance with the provisions of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994* and associated Regulations.

(v) Objections to Proposal

This permit has no effect until the expiry of the period for the lodgement of an appeal against the granting of the permit or, if an appeal is lodged, until ten days after the appeal has been determined by the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal.

(vi) Appeal Provisions

Attention is directed to sections 61 and 62 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* (as amended) which relate to appeals. These provisions should be consulted directly, but the following provides a guide as to their content:

A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Clerk of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal.

A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the planning authority serves notice of the decision on the applicant.

(vii) Permit Commencement

This permit takes effect 14 days after the date of Council's notice of determination or at such time as any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined. If an applicant is the only person with a right of appeal pursuant to section 61 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and wishes to commence the use or development for which the permit has been granted within that 14 day period, the Council must be so notified in writing.

(viii) Period of Approval

Pursuant to Section 53(5) the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, this approval will lapse after a period of two (2) years from:

- (a) the date on which the permit is granted; or
- (b) if an appeal has been instituted against the planning authority's decision to grant the permit, the date of the determination or abandonment of the appeal,

if the use or development is not substantially commenced within that period.

Voting for the Motion: Mayor Howard, Deputy Mayor Jessup, Crs Nichols, Chilcott,

Lade, Hughes

Voting Against the Motion: Cr Powell

CARRIED

Cr McLennan returned to the Meeting (6:40 pm)

Item 29/21

Planning Application – Demolition of Garage and Construction of Carport and Attached Deck with Relaxation of Rear Boundary Setback Standards | 25 Henry Street BRIDPORT

Reporting Officer: Town Planner: Thomas Wagenknecht

Ref: DOC/21/1228 | PLA/2020/134

Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a proposal for the demolition of a garage and the construction of a carport and two attached decks at 25 Henry Street, Bridport.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposal for the demolition of a garage and construction of a carport and attached decks at 25 Henry Street, Bridport be approved subject to the following conditions:

Decision

MOVED: Cr Nichols | SECONDED: Cr Hughes

It is recommended that the proposal for the demolition of a garage and construction of a carport and attached decks at 25 Henry Street, Bridport be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Basis of Approval

The development is approved and must be undertaken in accordance with the Endorsed Documents, except where specified otherwise in this permit and documents lodged with this application (PLA/2020/134). Any substantial variation from this application will require the further planning consent of the Council.

2. Stormwater Management

Stormwater discharged from the impervious areas (including vehicle areas, paving and building roofed areas) of the development must be directed to Council's stormwater network in a manner that would not cause an environmental nuisance, to the satisfaction of the Council's Town Planner.

3. Vehicle Parking and Internal Access

Prior to the commencement of the use, areas set aside for the parking of vehicles, together with the aisles, must be constructed, drained and maintained to a condition suitable for use by the vehicles which will use the areas.

ADVISORY NOTES

(i) Permission in Writing

Any reference to the need for Council approval of a matter or thing prescribed under the conditions pertinent to this permit requires such approval to be given in writing.

(ii) Objections to Proposal

This permit has no effect until the expiry of the period for the lodgement of an appeal against the granting of the permit or, if an appeal is lodged, until ten days after the appeal has been determined by the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal.

(iii) Appeal Provisions

Attention is directed to sections 61 and 62 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* (as amended) which relate to appeals. These provisions should be consulted directly, but the following provides a guide as to their content:

A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Clerk of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal.

A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the planning authority serves notice of the decision on the applicant.

(iv) Permit Commencement

This permit takes effect 14 days after the date of Council's notice of determination or at such time as any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined. If an applicant is the only person with a right of appeal pursuant to section 61 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and wishes to commence the use or development for which the permit has been granted within that 14 day period, the Council must be so notified in writing.

(v) Period of Approval

Pursuant to Section 53(5) the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, this approval will lapse after a period of two (2) years from:

- (a) the date on which the permit is granted; or
- (b) if an appeal has been instituted against the planning authority's decision to grant the permit, the date of the determination or abandonment of the appeal,

if the use or development is not substantially commenced within that period.

(vi) Other Approvals

This permit does not imply that any other approval required under any other by-law or legislation has been granted. At least the following additional approvals may be required before construction commences:

- (a) Building approval
- (b) Plumbing approval

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 30/21

Urgent Amendment to Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 | CT 159544/1 Emily Street BRIDPORT (Ezzy Park)

Reporting Officer: Town Planner: Thomas Wagenknecht

Ref: DOC/21/1213

Purpose

The purpose of this report is for the Planning Authority to consider initiating amendment to the Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 to rezone land at Emily Street, Bridport (Certificate of Title 159544/1) from General Residential Zone to Open Space Zone.



Figure 2 – Proposed zoning of subject land (adapted from www.thelist.tas.gov.au). © State of Tasmania

Recommendation

That:

- 1. the Planning Authority initiate amendment to the Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 to rezone all land identified as General Residential Zone upon Certificate of Title 159544/1 to Open Space Zone; and
- 2. the amendment initiated under (1) above be submitted directly to the Minister for Planning requesting the Minister to consider the amendment as an urgent amendment of the Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 pursuant to Section 30IA(2) and (3) of the former provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

Decision

MOVED: Cr McLennan | SECONDED: Cr Chilcott

That:

 the Planning Authority initiate amendment to the Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 to rezone all land identified as General Residential Zone upon Certificate of Title 159544/1 to Open Space Zone; and 2. the amendment initiated under (1) above be submitted directly to the Minister for Planning requesting the Minister to consider the amendment as an urgent amendment of the Dorset Interim Planning Scheme 2013 pursuant to Section 30IA(2) and (3) of the former provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 31/21 Quarterly Financials – 31 December 2020

Reporting Officer: Director – Corporate Services: John Marik

Ref: DOC/20/1111

Purpose

The purpose of this agenda item is to present to Councillors and the community the financial performance for the 6 months ended 31 December 2020.

Recommendation

That Council receive the Financial Report for the period ended 31 December 2020.

Decision

MOVED: Cr Nichols | SECONDED: Cr Jessup

That Council receive the Financial Report for the period ended 31 December 2020.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item 32/21 Proposed Street Names – Northbourne Park Expansion "Proposting

Circle" and "Kookaburra Court"

Reporting Officer: General Manager, Tim Watson

Ref: DOC/21/1113

Purpose

The purpose of this item is to determine the naming of proposed streets in the expansion of Northbourne Park. It is proposed that the streets be named "Propsting Circle" and "Kookaburra Court".

Recommendation

That Council resolve to name the proposed new streets associated with the Northbourne Park Expansion in Scottsdale "Propsting Circle" and "Kookaburra Court" in accordance with the requirements of Placenames Tasmania.

Decision

MOVED: Cr Jessup | SECONDED: Cr Lade

That Council resolve to name the proposed new streets associated with the Northbourne Park Expansion in Scottsdale "Propsting Circle" and "Kookaburra Court" in accordance with the requirements of Placenames Tasmania.



CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Time Meeting Closed: 7:03 pm

Minutes Confirmed: 15 March 2021

Minute No: 33/21

.....

Mayor